

Procurement Correction Thread

Vortex Grid Mail Export

Procurement Correction Thread

From: A. Lin, Product Finance <a.lin.finance@vortexgrid.example>
To: Noor Patel, Finance Controls <noor.patel@vortexgrid.example>; Procurement Assurance <procurement.assurance@vortexgrid.example>
Cc: Vendor Master Data <vendor-master@vortexgrid.example>
Date: Thu, 4 Jun 2026 09:18:00 -0700
Subject: Aurora reconciliation summary - correction request

Noor - I think I found why the close summary felt off.

During the quarterly close review, I found that the management summary uses "Aurora" for two different supplier matters. One paragraph concerns a late shipment of sealed sensor housings. Another concerns a pricing discrepancy in a circuit-assembly invoice. The source folders contain separate purchase records, but the summary does not display the legal supplier names or vendor IDs. I am requesting a correction before the summary is used in a supplier scorecard or, heaven help us, an operational incident discussion.

For identification, I am A. Lin in Product Finance. I am not Avery Lin in Service Readiness, who is copied on some Meridian records. Please use my finance directory address and the Product Finance role for this request. The distinction matters because the Service Readiness analyst may organize a review packet but does not approve finance corrections or vendor-master changes.

The underlying references are PO-60731 for the sensor-housing shipment and PO-61108 for the circuit-assembly invoice. I have not made a finding that either supplier caused a service condition. The current issue is record ambiguity, not supplier fault.

Thanks,
- A.

From: Noor Patel, Finance Controls <noor.patel@vortexgrid.example>
To: A. Lin, Product Finance; Procurement Assurance

Procurement Correction Thread

Cc: Vendor Master Data; Avery Lin, Service Readiness
Date: Thu, 4 Jun 2026 10:07:00 -0700
Subject: Re: Aurora reconciliation summary - correction request

A. - yes, you're seeing what I'm seeing.

> The current issue is record ambiguity, not supplier fault.

Finance Controls has opened review VRM-2026-09. The vendor records show Aurora Components LLC, SUP-441, associated with PO-60731 and sensor housings, and Aurora Circuit Works Ltd., SUP-778, associated with PO-61108 and circuit assemblies. The shared word "Aurora" is just where the names overlap. They're different companies, with different POs, and we have no basis for dragging either one into the North Harbor service event.

We'll attach a dated clarification to the management summary instead of overwriting it. Procurement will also change the template so legal name and vendor ID come first. Avery is copied for the Meridian template work, not for finance approval.

Noor

P.S. Thank you for catching this before the scorecard meeting.

A. - 10:26 PDT

One more thing for the file, mostly because I created part of this mess: please don't replace the old summary in place. Attach the clarification to VRM-2026-09 and leave my original wording visible. I signed "A. Lin" out of habit, which is unhelpful when Avery is already on the Meridian mail. Sorry, Avery. My finance address and the PO numbers are the safer trail here. Also, "Aurora" by itself still isn't enough to tell anyone which company we mean.

From: Procurement Assurance <procurement.assurance@vortexgrid.example>
To: Noor Patel; A. Lin, Product Finance
Cc: Vendor Master Data; Avery Lin, Service Readiness
Date: Thu, 4 Jun 2026 11:02:00 -0700
Subject: Re: Aurora reconciliation summary - correction request

Procurement Correction Thread

Quick update from Procurement: SUP-441 and SUP-778 have different contract owners, remittance instructions, part catalogs, and receiving records. We found no document establishing corporate affiliation. The sensor-housing shipment entry records a delivery variance. The circuit-assembly invoice entry records a unit-price variance. Neither entry is a technical quality finding. If Engineering later investigates a part condition, it must cite the specific part reference and supplier ID rather than the short name used in the earlier summary.

We will add a vendor-alias field to the procurement review form, but legal identity will remain the first field. This is a formatting and control update, not an attempt to rewrite old records. The clarification should preserve both original passages and add their specific supplier mapping.

Procurement Assurance

From: Noor Patel, Finance Controls <noor.patel@vortexgrid.example>
To: A. Lin, Product Finance; Procurement Assurance
Cc: Vendor Master Data; Avery Lin, Service Readiness
Date: Fri, 5 Jun 2026 08:40:00 -0700
Subject: Final clarification issued - Aurora reconciliation summary

Clarification's out under VRM-2026-09. PO-60731 now says Aurora Components LLC / SUP-441; PO-61108 says Aurora Circuit Works Ltd. / SUP-778. Purchase statuses are unchanged and nobody found a defect here. Please use the legal names and IDs in the next report.

One control figure for the file while we're in here: the approved Q2 commitment for SUP-778 is GBP 15,000.00. That is a sterling ceiling agreed in sterling, not a converted USD number, and there is still no approved FX rate to convert it with. The ceiling lives here; what has actually been drawn against it lives in the Q2 reconciliation ledger, and I would rather nobody carried a remaining balance around in their head.

And A., your "heaven help us" line did, in fact, survive the archive export. Consider that your incentive to proof the Q3 summary before sending it to me :-)

Noor